Synthesis and Gas Permeability of Novel Poly(diphenylacetylenes) Having Polyethylene Glycol Moieties

Toshikazu Sakaguchi (🗷), Koji Kameoka, Tamotsu Hashimoto

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, University of Fukui, Bunkyo, Fukui 910-8507, Japan

Received: 3 October 2007 / Revised version: 19 November 2007 / Accepted: 23 November 2007 Published online: 11 December 2007 – © Springer-Verlag 2007

Summary

4-Trimethylsilyldiphenylacetylenes with methyl group bromine or atom $(Me_3SiC_6H_4C \equiv CC_6H_4R, R = m-CH_3, p-CH_3, m-Br, p-Br, 1a-d, respectively)$ were polymerized with TaCl₅/*n*-Bu₄Sn to afford poly(diphenylacetylene) derivatives (2a-d). The polymers (2a–d) had high molecular weight over 5×10^5 , and gave free-standing membranes by solution casting method. Chlorination of the obtained poly[1-(3methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] was carried out by using sulfuryl chloride, and then substitution of polyethylene glycol was performed to give poly(diphenylacetylene) possessing polyethylene glycol moieties. Its carbon dioxide permeability (Pco_2) and permselectivity (Pco_2/PN_2) were 2.970 barrers and 9.0, respectively.

Introduction

Substituted acetylene polymers have been synthesized by the polymerization with transition metal catalysts [1–4]. Substituted acetylene polymers exhibit interesting features such as chromism, semiconductivity, paramagnetism, gas permeability, etc. Among substituted acetylenes, diphenylacetylene derivatives polymerize with the TaCl₅/*n*-Bu₄Sn catalyst system. The formed poly(diphenylacetylene) derivatives exhibit relatively high gas permeability [5–8], and are expected to be applicable for gas separation membranes. For instance, 1-phenyl-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene polymerizes to give high-molecular-weight poly[1-phenyl-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] [poly(TMSDPA)] in high yield. Its carbon dioxide permeability coefficient (Pco_2) reaches 4,700 barrers [9], indicating that poly(TMSDPA) is highly CO₂-permeable among various polymers. Carbon dioxide permealectivity (Pco_2/PN_2) is, however, not large enough to be applied for practical use.

It is known that carbon dioxide tend to interact with polar groups in the polymer membranes [10]. Therefore, polymer membranes possessing polar groups show high CO_2 solubility and solubility selectivity. The polymer membranes with ether oxygen moieties such as polyethylene glycol [PEG] are considered to be favorable for CO_2 separation membrane because they have appropriate polarity [11], and it has been reported that PEG membranes exhibit high CO_2 permselectivity [12,13]. This fact suggests that incorporation of PEG moieties to poly(diphenylacetylene) may increase CO_2 permselectivity as maintaining high CO_2 permeability.

In the present work, four kinds of poly(diphenylacetylene) derivatives, poly[1-(3-methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] (2a), poly[1-(4-methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] (2b), poly[1-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] (2c), and poly[1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] (2d) were synthesized, and PEG-substitution was carried out to investigate possibility of their application for gas separation membranes. General properties and gas permeability of their free-standing membranes were examined.



Scheme 1. Synthesis of poly(diphenylacetylene) derivatives

Experimental

Measurements

The molecular weight distributions (MWDs) of polymers were measured by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in THF (at a 1.0 mL/min flow rate) at 40°C on a Shimadzu LC-10AD chromatograph equipped with three polystyrene gel columns (Shodex A-80M × 2 and KF-802.5 × 1) and a Shimadzu RID-6A refractive index detector. The number-average molecular weight (M_n) and polydispersity ratio [weight-average molecular weight/number-average molecular weight (M_w/M_n)] were calculated from chromatograms based on a polystyrene calibration. ¹H (500 MHz) and ¹³C (125 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded on Jeol LA-500 instrument in CDCl₃ at room temperature. IR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu FTIR-8600PC spectrophotometer. Elemental analyses were performed at the Microanalytical Center of Kyoto University. Gas permeability coefficients were measured with a Rikaseiki K-315-N gas permeability apparatus at 25°C.

Materials

Tantalum chloride (Aldrich) as main catalyst was used without further purification, while n-Bu₄Sn (Wako, Japan) as cocatalyst was purified by distillation. p-Dibromobenzene, 3-methyl-1-butyne-3-ol, m-iodotoluene, p-iodotoluene, m-bromo-iodobenzene, p-bromoiodobenzene, and common organic solvents were commercially

obtained from Wako, Japan and used without further purification. Polyethylene glycol mono methyl ether $[M_n = 350]$ was purchased from Alfa Aesar and used without purification. Toluene as polymerization solvent was purified by distillation under reduced pressure. 1-(3-Methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1a), 1-(4-methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1b), 1-(3-bromophenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1c), and 1-(4-bromophenyl)-2-[4-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl]acetylene (1d) were synthesized as shown in Scheme 2 according to the literatures [14,15]. The details of the procedures and analytical data of 1a–d are stated below.



Scheme 2. Synthesis of diphenylacetylene monomers

1-(3-Methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1a)

A 500-mL three-necked flask was equipped with a reflux condenser, a three-way stopcock, and a magnetic stirring bar. After the flask was flushed with nitrogen, dichlorobis(triphenylphosphine)palladium (0.075 g, 0.10 mmol), triphenylphosphine (0.11 g, 0.40 mmol), cuprous iodide (0.12 g, 0.60 mmol), and *m*-iodotoluene (5.9 g, 27 mmol) were placed in the flask and dissolved in triethylamine (100 mL) at room temperature. Then, a solution of *p*-trimethylsilylphenylacetylene (4.7 g, 27 mmol) in triethylamine (50 mL) was added, and stirring was continued for 3 h at 40°C. After the triethylamine in the reaction mixture was evaporated, ether (ca. 300 mL) was added, and the insoluble salt was filtered off. The solution was washed with hydrochloric acid (1.0 mol/L) and then with water. The ethereal solution was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. After filtration, ether was evaporated, and the crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (eluent: hexane) to provide the desired product (3.6 g, 0.014 mol, 50%) as colorless liquid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 7.52 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.40 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.37 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.26 $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{Ar}), 7.17 (d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{Ar}), 2.36 (s, 3\text{H}, \text{Ar-CH}_3), 0.28 (s, 9\text{H}, 100 \text{ Hz})$ Si-(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 140.9, 138.0, 133.2, 132.2, 130.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.2, 123.6, 123.1, 89.9, 89.2, 21.2, 1.2. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₈H₂₀Si: C, 81.76; H, 7.62. Found: C, 81.47; H, 7.81.

1-(4-Methylphenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1b)

This monomer was prepared by the same method as for **1a** using *p*-iodotoluene instead of *m*-iodotoluene. Yield 36%, white solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 7.51 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.45 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.17 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ar), 2.38 (s, 3H, Ar-CH₃) 0.29 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 140.7, 138.3, 133.2, 131.5,

130.6, 129.1, 123.7, 120.2, 89.9, 88.9, 21.5, -1.2. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{18}H_{20}Si$: C, 81.76; H, 7.62. Found: C, 81.72; H, 7.67.

1-(3-Bromophenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1c)

This monomer was prepared by the same method as for **1a** using *m*-bromoiodobenzene instead of *m*-iodotoluene. Yield 44%, white solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 7.70 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.51 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.47 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.46 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.22 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 0.29 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 141.5, 134.3, 133.3, 131.3, 130.7, 130.1, 129.7, 125.3, 122.9, 122.1, 90.8, 88.1, -1.3. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇Si: C, 62.00; H, 5.20. Found: C, 62.03; H, 5.18.

1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(4-trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene (1d)

This monomer was prepared by the same method as for **1a** using *p*-bromoiodobenzene instead of *m*-iodotoluene. Yield 48%, white solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 7.51 (s, 4H, Ar), 7.48 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.40 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 0.29 (s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 141.4, 133.2, 133.0, 131.6, 130.6, 123.1, 122.4, 122.3, 90.7, 88.6, -1.3. Anal. Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₇Si: C, 62.00; H, 5.20. Found: C, 61.93; H, 5.24.

Polymerization

Polymerizations were carried out in a Schlenk tube equipped with a three-way stopcock under dry nitrogen at 80 °C for 3 h under the following conditions: $[M]_0 = 0.20$ M, $[TaCl_5] = 20$ mM, $[n-Bu_4Sn] = 40$ mM. A detailed procedure of polymerization is as follows: A monomer solution was prepared in a Schlenk tube by mixing monomer **1a** (0.53 g) and toluene (5.0 mL). Another Schlenk tube was charged with TaCl₅ (71 mg), *n*-Bu₄Sn (0.13 mL), and toluene (4.9 mL); this catalyst solution was aged at 80°C for 10 min. Then the monomer solution was added to the catalyst solution. Polymerization was carried out at 80°C for 3 h, which was quenched with a small amount of methanol. The formed polymer was isolated by precipitation into a large excess of methanol, and its yield was determined by gravimetry.

Chlorination and PEG-substitution reaction

Chlorination [16] and substitution reaction of PEG [17] were performed according to the literatures.

A 100-mL three-necked flask was equipped with a reflux condenser, a three-way stopcock, and a magnetic stirring bar. After the flask was flushed with nitrogen, polymer **2a** (0.10 g) was placed in the flask and dissolved in carbon tetrachloride (50 mL) at room temperature. Then, sulfuryl chloride (0.015 g) and BPO (0.10 mg) were added, and stirring was continued for 2 h at 75°C. After the reaction, the reaction mixture was poured into a large excess of methanol and the obtained polymer (**3a**) was collected by using glass filter as insoluble product.

A 200-mL flask was equipped with a three-way stopcock and a magnetic stirring bar. After the flask was flushed with nitrogen, polyethylene glycol monomethyl ether (0.44 g), sodium hydride (0.050 g), and THF (50 mL) were placed in the flask. Then,

a solution of 3a (0.075 g) in THF (50 mL) was added, and stirring was continued for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into methanol, and then polymer 4a was collected by glass filter. Further, the obtained polymer was dissolved in chloroform and washed with water three times.

Membrane preparation

Membranes (thickness ca. 50–80 μ m) of **2a–d**, **3a**, and **4a** were prepared by casting toluene solution of the polymers (concn. 0.5–1.0 wt%) onto a glass plate. The plate was covered with a glass vessel to slow down solvent evaporation (ca. 3–5 days). After drying, the membrane was immersed into methanol for 24 h, and dried again at an ambient condition for 24 h.

Results and discussion

Polymerization

The polymerizations of diphenylacetylene derivatives 1a-d were carried out with TaCl₅/*n*-Bu₄Sn in toluene at 80°C, whose results are summarized in Table 1. It is known that the polymerization with TaCl₅/*n*-Bu₄Sn achieves good yield of disubstituted acetylene polymers with high molecular weight [1,2], which is essential for fabrication of free-standing membranes.

The polymerization of **1a** afforded a polymer (**2a**) with high molecular weight in good yield ($M_n = 8.0 \times 10^4$, yield = 84%) (entry 1). Monomer **1b** also polymerized with TaCl₅/*n*-Bu₄Sn to give the polymer in good yield, where the M_n value was as high as 275×10^4 (entry 2). Polymerizations of Br-containing monomers **1c** and **1d** provided high-molecular-weight polymers (entries 3 and 4). Noteworthy is that novel high-molecular-weight poly(diphenylacetylene)s having methyl groups or bromine atoms could be synthesized by the polymerization of the corresponding disubstituted acetylene monomers.

		polymer ^b			
entry	monomer	Yield (%)	$M_{\rm n}/10^{\rm 4c}$	$M_{\rm w}/M_{\rm n}^{\rm c}$	
1	1a	84	80	2.4	
2	1b	85	275	2.5	
3	1c	92	93	3.0	
4	1d	72	54	3.5	

Table 1. Polymerization of diphenylacetylene monomers 1a-d^a

^ain toluene at 80 °C for 3h; $[M]_0 = 0.20 \text{ M}$, $[TaCl_5] = 20 \text{ mM}$, $[n-Bu_4Sn] = 40 \text{ mM}$; ^bmethanol-insoluble product; ^cmeasured by GPC

Chlorination and PEG-substitution reaction

Figure 1 depicts the IR spectra of polymers 2a, 3a, and 4a. The spectrum of 3a, which is chlorinated polymer, exhibited the absorption at ca. 1050 cm⁻¹ derived from C-Cl stretching, which was not seen in the spectrum of 2a. In the spectrum of 4a, the absorption at ca. 1100 cm⁻¹ derived from C-O-C stretching was stronger than that in 3a. Further, the absorption at ca. 1050 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum 4a decreased compared with that in 3a. These findings indicate that PEG moieties were substituted to

polymer 2a. Degrees of substitution were calculated from the elemental analyses of 2a, 3a, and 4a. The weight ratio of chlorine in 3a was found to be 6.38%. The theoretical weight ratio of chlorine should be 11.86% when one chlorine atom is substituted to the repeating unit. From these results, degree of chlorination was found to be ca. 0.54. The weight ratios of carbon and hydrogen in 4a were found to be 72.78 and 6.84%, respectively, although they are theoretically 81.76 and 7.62% in 2a, 53.08 and 9.21% in a polyethylene glycol moiety. Therefore, degree of PEG-substitution was estimated to be ca. 0.25.



Figure 1. IR spectra of 2a, 3a, and 4a

Solubility properties of the polymers 2a-d, 3a, and 4a

The solubility properties of polymers 2a-d, 3a, and 4a were studied, whose results are summarized in Table 2. Polymers 2a-d showed similar solubility properties to each other, and totally dissolved in toluene, CHCl₃, Et₂O, THF, and CH₂Cl₂. They were insoluble in polar solvents such as acetone, methanol, and dimethyl sulfoxide. Polymer 3a, which is chlorinated polymer, also showed good solubility in relatively low polar solvents and dissolved in toluene, CHCl₃, and so on, while insoluble in hexane. Polymer 4a, which is polyethylene glycol-substituted polymer, exhibited the same solubility as that of 2a. It was found that these poly(diphenylacetylene) derivatives exhibited similar solubility properties even in polyethylene glycolsubstituted one. This is reasonable because the PEG content of polymer 4a is relatively small.

	hexane	toluene	CHCl ₃	Et ₂ O	THF	CH_2Cl_2	acetone	DMSO
2a-d	±	+	+	+	+	+	_	-
3a	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	_
4a	±	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

Table 2. Solubility of polymers 2a-d, 3a, and 4a^a

^asymbols: + soluble, \pm partly soluble, - insoluble

Gas permeability of polymer membranes

The permeability of membranes of 2a-d to oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide was examined at 25°C (Table 3). The carbon dioxide permeability coefficients (Pco_2) of

membranes of polymers (**2a–d**) were 3060, 9500, 6270, 5400 barrers, respectively. The P_{CO_2} value of poly(TMSDPA) is 4700 barrers, which is nearly to those of the present polymers. It has been reported that the P_{CO_2} values of trimethylsilyl group-containing poly(diarylacetylene) derivatives are in the range of 2100–14000 barrers [18–21]. Therefore, the present polymers **2a–d** were found to show high CO₂ permeability similarly to other poly(diarylacetylene) derivatives. The permeability coefficients of **2a–d** to other gases such as oxygen and nitrogen were also large. These values are larger than that of poly(dimethylsiloxane) [22], which exhibits the highest gas permeability among all the rubbery polymers.

	Po_2	P_{N_2}	Pco ₂	Po_2/PN_2	$P \operatorname{CO}_2 / P \operatorname{N}_2$
2a	880	450	3060	1.96	6.80
2b	2400	920	9500	2.61	10.3
2c	1920	1200	6270	1.60	5.23
2d	1160	520	5400	2.23	10.4

Table 3. Gas permeability coefficients $(P)^{a}$ and permselectivity of membranes of **2a**-d

^ain the units of 1×10^{-10} cm³ (STP) cm cm⁻² s⁻¹ cmHg⁻¹ (= 1 barrer)

The results of gas permeability of **2a**, **3a**, and **4a** were shown in Table 4. The P_{CO_2} value of **3a**, which is chlorinated polymer, was 5150 barrers and slightly increased compared with that of **2a**. Carbon dioxide permselectivity (P_{CO_2}/P_{N_2}) of **3a** also improved up to 7.15 from 6.80. PEG-substituted poly(diphenylacetylene) **4a** showed the highest permselectivity among these three polymers, and its P_{CO_2}/P_{N_2} was 9.00. The P_{CO_2} value of **4a** was almost same as that of **2a** irrespective of higher permselectivity. Generally, a trade-off relationship and an upper boundary line are present [23]; i.e. CO₂ permeability decreases when CO₂ permselectivity increases. This finding indicates that PEG moieties in polymer **4a** interact with CO₂ to increase CO₂ solubility in polymer matrix. It was found that the introduction of PEG moieties improves CO₂ permselectivity of poly(diphenylacetylene) derivative without decreasing CO₂ permeability.

Table 4. Gas permeability coefficients $(P)^a$ and permselectivity of membranes of 2a, 3a, and 4a

	Po_2	$P_{\rm N_2}$	Pco ₂	Po_2/PN_2	$P \text{CO}_2 / P \text{N}_2$
2a	800	450	3060	1.96	6.80
3a	1310	720	5150	1.82	7.15
4a	880	330	2970	2.67	9.00

^ain the units of 1×10^{-10} cm³ (STP) cm cm⁻² s⁻¹ cmHg⁻¹ (= 1 barrer)

Conclusions

Novel poly(diphenylacetylene)s possessing methyl groups or bromine atoms were synthesized by metathesis polymerization with $TaCl_5/n$ -Bu₄Sn. Polyethylene glycol-substituted poly(diphenylacetylene) was obtained by the polymer reaction of methyl group-containing poly(diphenylacetylene). Membrane of PEG-substituted poly(diphenylacetylene) exhibited high CO₂ permselectivity compared with membrane of poly(diphenylacetylene) without PEG moieties. It was found that the

incorporation of polyethylene glycol moieties to poly(diphenylacetylene) improves CO₂ permselectivity of the polymer membrane.

References

- 1. Masuda T, Sanda F (2003) In Handbook of Matathesis; Grubbs RH, Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Vol. 3 Chapter 3. 11
- 2. Nomura R, Masuda T (2003) In Encyclopedia of Polymer Science and Technology; Kroshwitz JI, Ed.; Wiley: New York, Vol. IA, p 1
- 3. Choi SK, Gal YS, Jin SH, Kim HK (2000) Chem Rev 100:1645
- 4. Tabata M, Sone T, Sadahiro Y (1999) Macromol Chem Phys 200:265
- 5. Kouzai H, Masuda T, Higashimura T (1994) J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 32:2523
- 6. Tsuchihara K, Masuda T, Higashimura T (1992) Macromolecules 25:5816
- 7. Ito H, Masuda T, Higashimura T (1996) J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 34:2925
- 8. Tsuchihara K, Masuda T, Higashimura T (1993) J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 31:547
- 9. Teraguchi M, Masuda T (2002) Macromolecules 35:1149
- 10. Koros WJ (1985) J Polym Sci Part B: Polym Phys 23:1611
- 11. Lin H, Freeman BD (2005) J Mol Struct 739:57
- 12. Lin H, Wagner EV, Swinnea JS, Freeman BD, Pas SJ, Hill AJ, Kalakkunnath S, Kalika DS (2006) J Membr Sci 276:145
- 13. Lin H, Freeman BD (2004) J Membr Sci 239:105
- 14. Aoki T, Nakahara H, Hayakawa Y, Kokai M, Oikawa E (1994) J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 32:849
- 15. Sonogashira K (2002) J Organomet Chem 653:46
- 16. Kharasch MS, Brown HC (1939) J Am Chem Soc 61:2142
- 17. Endo K, Sugita T (2004) J Polym Sci Part A: Polym Chem 43:2904
- 18. Sakaguchi T, Kwak G, Masuda T (2002) Polymer 43:3937
- 19. Sakaguchi T, Shiotsuki M, Masuda T (2004) Macromolecules 37:4104
- Sakaguchi T, Shiotsuki M, Sanda F, Freeman BD, Masuda T (2005) Macromolecules 38:8327
- 21. Sakaguchi T, Shiotsuki M, Sanda F, Masuda T (2006) J Membr Sci 280:720
- 22. Pauly S (1989) In Polymer Handbook 3rd Edition; Brandrup J, Emmergut E, Eds.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, VI435
- 23. Robeson LM (1991) J Membr Sci 62:165